Decision Decision

Date

13 May 2022

Reference

fdr22b0020

Subject

Decision on adoption of Procedure for matching for projects with indirect government funding and projects with contract research funding

THE DEAN OF THE AMSTERDAM LAW SCHOOL;

whereas:

it is desirable to clarify the criteria and procedure for allocating matching contributions for research groups and departments;

having heard:

the Department Chair Consultation and the faculty Research Council;

HAS DECIDED:

to adopt the accompanying matching procedure for projects with indirect government funding and projects with contract research funding with effect from 13 May 2022.

This decision replaces the previous decision on the adoption of a matching procedure for externally funded research projects dated 8 June 2018.

Prof. dr. P.A. Nollkaemper

Dean

Procedure for matching for projects with indirect government funding and projects with contract research funding*

Faculty matching policy

Income from indirect government funding and contract research funding (GS2 and GS3, respectively) does not always cover all costs associated with the projects concerned. Grant providers usually do not provide 100% of the material, staff and overhead costs. The faculty then may be required to 'match' the difference between the full project costs and the grant offered by the grant provider.

With a view to the need to match that difference, the UvA provides all faculties with a matching budget in proportion to the broad indirect government funding (EU & NWO) and contract research funding in year t-2. The budget is calculated based on the following average matching percentages: 60% of NWO revenue + 35% of EU revenue + 15% of contract research (GS3) revenue. The university has an annual budget for matching of approximately €33 million. When this level is reached, the budgets are allocated pro rata, which means that when all faculties together request more matching than is budgeted, each faculty receives proportionally less than is requested. For example, if according to the matching calculation rules a total of € 38 million should be allocated to the faculties, each faculty would receive (33/38) of the amount it is entitled to. In the past few years, the Amsterdam Law School has received an average of €1.1 million (3 to 4% of the UvA total).

As long as the matching budget that the faculty receives from the university equals or exceeds its allocation to projects, there is, in principle, no need to make choices, and matching funds received can be passed on to projects.

However, this is not always the case in practice. Due to the delay in the allocation of matching funds, there will be a surplus in the matching budget in some years and a deficit in other years. More generally, on average the contribution of the UvA is likely to be slightly less than required within the Amsterdam Law School.

The procedure set out in this document explains how the faculty decides *which* projects will receive a matching contribution and *to what extent* these projects will be matched. Clarity about these questions is important for research groups and departments, as they must determine which project proposals they want to support and implement.

The faculty matching policy supports and facilitates the faculty ambition to acquire more external funds. Matching should not only be seen as a cost item, but rather as a means to support the generation of more research resources. However, the faculty's matching offer

^{*} The procedure described in this document is an abridged version of the matching procedure as adopted on 8 June 2018. Information on the background of this procedure has been omitted in this abridged version. Information regarding amounts and grant programmes has been updated. The procedure has not changed substantially.

should not be expanded to such an extent that negotiations about the amount of the grant are conducted less ambitiously.

If the faculty does not pay the full overhead, and does not match the unfunded staff costs, a department can still carry out the project, but the department then must make its 'own contribution' to the project.

Criteria for choices in matching policy

Decisions regarding the allocation of matching are guided by the following criteria:

- Proposals for matching that belong to the broad GS2 are accepted, in principle, if they are in line with the faculty research strategy as formulated in the FSP of the Faculty of Law 2021-2026, which in particular concerns the aim to promote innovative academic research of high quality that is linked to thematic choices across fields of law and disciplines, and which has a significant impact on society.
- Projects that belong to the broad GS2 that cover only partial or no costs overheads, and that also provides only partial funding for costs of staff or material expenses, may also be matched. The research director will perform an additional review for this type of project (for example, EU 'social dialogue' projects or EU Jean Monnet projects).
- Proposals outside the broad GS2 are accepted only if they fall within the scope of the
 faculty research strategy and the clients operate with grant conditions that are similar to
 those of NWO and the EU, under which the compensation provided is always lower
 than the full costs. Examples are funding generated in the commercial exploitation
 phase, Stichting GAK, AUF, and sometimes ministries and other government
 institutions.

Process

When the budget for the next financial year is being drawn up, the Department Chairs will be asked what matching requests have already been granted and to estimate how much matching they expect to request for the coming year: what projects are already under way, what new projects are in the pipeline and what matching requirements will be set for them. Department Chairs will also be asked to report any unexpected matching requests in the current financial year as soon as possible.

Matching requests that already have been granted before the budget for the next financial year is set will not be reconsidered.

Matching applications outside the budgetary cycle will be submitted to the research director no later than one week before the submission of the proposal. These applications should be accompanied by the (draft) research proposal and the budget approved by project control, which explicitly indicates the matching requested.

Appendix 1: Classification of projects with indirect government funding and projects with contract research funding

Projects with indirect government funding and projects with contract research funding commonly in progress in the faculty can be classified as follows.

Indirect government funding (GS2):

 NWO projects. This grant provider pays the staff and material expenses. The overhead has to be matched.

Extended indirect government funding (GS2):

- EU projects in Horizon Europe/ERC grants. In these projects, the staff and material expenses are reimbursed, and 25% of the overhead on those expenses is also reimbursed. The difference has to be matched;
- EU projects in other programmes. At the Amsterdam Law School, a number of EU projects are funded by the 'social dialogue' programme. Ninety per cent of these projects' staff and material costs are reimbursed, plus a 7% reimbursement for the overhead on the 90% of those expenses. The difference less the 10% own contribution has to be matched.

Contract research funding (GS3):

- Grants from ministries, local authorities and affiliated institutions that provide compensation in accordance with NWO conditions. This does not include any reimbursement for the overhead;
- Grants from AUF, foundations, ministries, local authorities and funding generated in the commercial exploitation phase that do not or do not completely reimburse overhead;
- Grants from AUF, foundations, ministries and local authorities, and the corporate sector that fully reimburse overhead.

In addition, there is also income from conferences, seminars and contract teaching. This is classified in the UvA accounts as contract research funding but falls outside the scope of the matching issue in the field of research.

Appendix 2: Sample calculation of matching requirement

The table below shows the matching requirement for a one-year project with a postdoc that costs 100; the overhead of the faculty is 40.

	Costs staff	Costs overhead	Reimbursement staff	Reimbursement overhead	Amount to be	Own contribution
					matched	
NWO	100	40	100		40	0
EUHE/ERC	100	40	100	25	15	0
EUSD	100	40	90	6.3	33.7	10
Providers in	100	40	100		40	0
accordance						
with NWO						
Providers not	100	40	100	X	40-X	0
offering full						
cost coverage						
Providers	100	40	100	40	0	0
offering full						
cost coverage						
Commercial	100	40	>=100	>=40	0	0
rates						